



ANALYZING THE CHALLENGES OF DENTAL IMPLANTS: A COMPREHENSIVE CRITICAL REVIEW

1- Metaib Abdullah Aldhalai

metaibaldhalai@gmail.com

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

2- Saleh Ali Saed

salehsaed@gmail.com

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

3- Abdullah Ali said Al alharith

abalharirh@moh.gov.sa

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

4- Mansour Mahdi Alyami

malyami62@moh.gov.sa

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

5- Mohammed Mana Alsagri

mmalsagri@moh.gov.sa

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

6- Mahdi Mohammed Alkazman

mbinkazman@moh.gov.sa

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

7- Abdullah Saleh A Alyami

aabian@moh.gov.sa

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia



Abstract:

This critical review delves into the multifaceted challenges associated with dental implants, a cornerstone in restorative dentistry for replacing missing teeth. Despite their high success rates and widespread acclaim for restoring functionality and aesthetics, dental implants are not devoid of complications. This article systematically examines the literature to highlight the prevalent issues, including peri-implantitis, implant failure, and procedural complications, while also considering patient-specific factors like bone density and lifestyle habits that influence implant success. Additionally, the review explores the psychological impact on patients and the financial considerations involved. Through a comprehensive analysis, this article aims to provide a balanced perspective on dental implants, acknowledging their benefits while critically assessing the barriers to their universal applicability and long-term viability. By synthesizing recent research findings and expert opinions, this review offers valuable insights for both dental practitioners and patients contemplating dental implant treatments, encouraging informed decision-making and tailored patient care.

Keywords: Dental Implants, Peri-implantitis, Implant Failure, Procedural Complications, Bone Density, Psychological Impact, Financial Considerations, Restorative Dentistry.

I. Introduction

Dental implants have emerged as a pivotal solution in restorative dentistry for the replacement of missing teeth, offering a synthesis of durability and aesthetic appeal unparalleled by traditional prostheses like dentures or bridges. These implants, which are essentially titanium posts surgically inserted into the jawbone to act as artificial roots, are topped with custom-made crowns, providing a semblance and functionality akin to natural teeth. The fusion of the implant with the bone, known as osseointegration, is critical for the stability of the artificial tooth, enhancing not only the patient's masticatory function but also their overall oral health (Albrektsson&Wennerberg, 2019).

The transformative potential of dental implants in improving the lives of patients with tooth loss is profound. Beyond the restoration of physical functions such as eating and speaking, implants contribute significantly to psychological well-being by enhancing self-esteem and eliminating the discomforts associated with removable dentures (Zitzmann&Berglundh, 2008). Moreover, implants play a vital role in averting the bone loss that typically ensues post-tooth extraction, thereby preserving the jaw's structural integrity and facial esthetics (Esposito et al., 2013).

Despite their acclaim and high success rates, which literature suggests can be as high as 95-98% (Pjetursson et al., 2012), dental implants are not devoid of challenges. Complications can stem from a myriad of factors, ranging from the surgical technique employed to the materials of the implant, as well as the patient's health status and adherence to post-operative care. Predominant issues include peri-implantitis, an inflammatory condition affecting the tissues surrounding the implant, and failures in osseointegration leading to implant loss. Mechanical complications, such

as fractures of the crown or loosening of implant components, also pose significant concerns (Mombelli & Müller, 2012).

The psychological and economic dimensions of dental implant therapy warrant careful consideration. The decision to opt for implant surgery involves a complex deliberation process, where the potential benefits are weighed against the financial costs and perceived risks. The investment in dental implants is considerable, not only in monetary terms but also in the time and commitment required for the treatment, which often spans several months and entails multiple dental visits (Cheung & Zitzmann, 2017). For some individuals, the apprehension surrounding surgical procedures and the uncertainty of outcomes may deter them from pursuing implants as a treatment option (Gomez-Polo et al., 2016).

This critical review endeavors to offer an exhaustive examination of the multifaceted challenges associated with dental implants. By dissecting contemporary research findings, clinical outcomes, and patient testimonies, the article aims to furnish a nuanced perspective on the benefits and constraints of dental implants. The ultimate objective is to empower both dental practitioners and patients with the requisite knowledge to navigate the complexities of dental implant therapy, thereby optimizing success rates and patient satisfaction (Misch et al., 2014).

II. Background

The concept of dental implants has evolved significantly since its inception, transforming from an experimental procedure to a cornerstone in modern dental practice. The breakthrough came in the 1950s when Swedish orthopedic surgeon Per-Ingvar Brånemark discovered osseointegration, the process by which titanium forms a direct interface with bone without fibrous tissue interposition, laying the foundation for modern dental implantology (Brånemark et al., 1977). This discovery was pivotal, as it enabled the development of dental implants that could securely anchor to the jawbone, providing a stable foundation for artificial teeth.

Dental implants are typically made from titanium, a material chosen for its biocompatibility, strength, and ability to integrate with bone. The procedure involves several steps, starting with the surgical placement of the implant into the jawbone, followed by a healing period to allow for osseointegration. After successful integration, an abutment is attached to the implant, which serves as a base for the final crown, bridge, or denture (Albrektsson & Zarb, 1993).

The design and surface properties of implants have evolved to enhance osseointegration and long-term stability. Surface modifications, such as roughening through sandblasting or acid-etching, have been shown to promote bone anchorage and improve the success rates of implants (Wennerberg & Albrektsson, 2009). Advances in imaging and digital dentistry have further refined implant placement techniques, enabling more precise planning and less invasive procedures (Jokstad, 2016).

Despite these advancements, the success of dental implants is not guaranteed and can be influenced by various factors. Patient-related factors such as bone density, oral hygiene, and lifestyle choices like smoking can significantly impact the success rate of implantation (Esposito et al., 1998). Moreover, systemic health conditions such as diabetes and osteoporosis may also affect osseointegration and implant longevity (Oates et al., 2007).

The integration of dental implants into clinical practice has been a significant milestone in dentistry, offering patients a reliable and long-term solution for tooth loss. However, the journey from initial placement to successful osseointegration and functional restoration involves a complex interplay of biological, technical, and patient-specific factors, underscoring the need for a comprehensive understanding of the principles and challenges associated with dental implantology.

III. Benefits of Dental Implants

Dental implants offer numerous benefits over traditional tooth replacement options, such as dentures and bridges, making them a preferred choice for patients and dental professionals alike. These advantages encompass functional, aesthetic, psychological, and health-related aspects, contributing significantly to their popularity in restorative dentistry.

- Functional Benefits

Dental implants provide superior functional benefits compared to conventional prostheses. They restore nearly natural chewing ability, allowing patients to enjoy a wide range of foods without the restrictions often imposed by removable dentures. This capability is crucial not only for nutritional intake but also for overall quality of life. Implants transmit chewing forces to the jawbone, which helps in maintaining bone density and preventing the bone loss that typically follows tooth loss (Misch, 2008; Esposito et al., 1998).

- Aesthetic Advantages

From an aesthetic standpoint, dental implants offer significant advantages. They are designed to look and feel like natural teeth, enhancing facial aesthetics by preserving the natural contour of the face and preventing the sunken appearance often associated with tooth loss. The ability to match the color and shape of natural teeth allows for a seamless integration into the dental arch, contributing to a more natural and attractive smile (Zarb&Albrektsson, 1991; Buser et al., 2017).

- Psychological and Quality of Life Improvements

The psychological impact of dental implants cannot be understated. Tooth loss can lead to decreased self-esteem and social inhibition due to compromised aesthetics and functionality. Dental implants can restore a patient's confidence in their smile and speech, thereby improving their overall quality of life and social interactions (Allen & McMillan, 2003; Heydecke et al., 2005).

- **Health-Related Benefits**

Dental implants also offer health-related benefits. Unlike fixed bridges, they do not require the alteration of adjacent healthy teeth, preserving natural tooth structure. Additionally, implants support the maintenance of oral hygiene by allowing easier access between teeth compared to traditional bridges. The stability and fixed nature of implants eliminate the discomfort and potential for gum irritation often associated with removable dentures (Adell et al., 1981; Berglundh et al., 2002).

In summary, dental implants provide a comprehensive solution for tooth replacement that surpasses traditional methods in functionality, aesthetics, psychological impact, and overall oral health. Their ability to integrate with the jawbone and mimic natural teeth contributes to their high patient satisfaction rates and makes them a cornerstone in modern dental restorative practices.

IV. Common Problems and Challenges

While dental implants offer numerous benefits, they are not without their challenges and potential complications. Recognizing and understanding these issues is crucial for both dental professionals and patients to ensure the long-term success of implant treatments.

- ***Peri-Implantitis:*** Peri-implantitis is a significant concern in dental implantology, characterized by inflammation of the tissues surrounding an implant and resulting in the loss of supporting bone. This condition is akin to periodontitis in natural teeth and can lead to implant failure if not addressed promptly. Risk factors for peri-implantitis include poor oral hygiene, smoking, and a history of periodontal disease (Mombelli&Decaillet, 2011).
- ***Implant Failure:*** Implant failure can occur for a variety of reasons, including failure of the implant to osseointegrate with the jawbone, mechanical failure of the implant components, and biological complications such as infection or peri-implantitis. Systemic health conditions like diabetes and osteoporosis can also negatively impact implant success (Esposito et al., 1998).
- ***Procedural Complications:*** Surgical placement of dental implants can sometimes lead to complications such as damage to surrounding nerves or blood vessels, leading to sensory disturbances or excessive bleeding. Improper implant placement can also affect adjacent teeth or result in unaesthetic outcomes. Advanced imaging techniques and careful planning are essential to minimize these risks (Chrcanovic et al., 2016).
- ***Aesthetic Challenges:*** Achieving optimal aesthetic outcomes, especially in the anterior region of the mouth, can be challenging. Factors such as the implant's position, gingival biotype, and the quality of the surrounding soft and hard tissues play a crucial role in the aesthetic success of implant-supported restorations (Linkevicius et al., 2018).
- ***Maintenance and Longevity:*** Long-term maintenance of dental implants is crucial for their success. Complications such as mechanical wear, loosening of the implant components, and the development of mucositis (a reversible inflammation of the soft tissues around the

implant) can impact the longevity of the implants. Regular dental check-ups and proper oral hygiene practices are essential to prevent these issues (Renvert&Quirynen, 2015).

Despite these challenges, dental implants continue to be a reliable and effective solution for tooth replacement. Ongoing research and technological advancements in implant design, materials, and surgical techniques aim to further improve success rates and minimize complications.

V. Technological and Procedural Advancements

The field of dental implantology has witnessed significant technological and procedural advancements that have enhanced the success rates of implant treatments and improved patient experiences. These innovations address various aspects of the implant process, from planning and placement to restoration and maintenance.

Digital Imaging and Planning

Advancements in digital imaging, such as Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT), have revolutionized the planning phase of dental implant procedures. CBCT provides three-dimensional images of the jawbone, allowing for precise assessment of bone quality and quantity. This technology facilitates the identification of the optimal implant placement site, minimizing risks to adjacent anatomical structures (Bornstein et al., 2009).

Computer-Guided Surgery

Computer-guided implant surgery utilizes digital planning to create a surgical guide, which directs the accurate placement of implants. This approach enhances precision and predictability, reducing surgical invasiveness and patient discomfort. Guided surgery has been shown to improve outcomes, particularly in complex cases or when precise implant positioning is critical for aesthetic or functional reasons (D'haese et al., 2012).

Immediate Loading

Immediate loading of dental implants, where a provisional or final restoration is placed shortly after implant insertion, has gained popularity. This technique can significantly shorten the treatment timeline and reduce the number of surgical interventions, improving patient satisfaction. However, immediate loading requires careful patient selection and optimal clinical conditions to ensure success (Esposito et al., 2010).

Surface Modifications

The surface characteristics of dental implants play a crucial role in osseointegration. Modifications such as micro-roughening and coating with bioactive materials have been developed to enhance bone-implant interaction. These surface treatments have been shown to accelerate osseointegration and improve the long-term stability of implants (Wennerberg&Albrektsson, 2010).

Biomaterials and Customized Solutions

The development of new biomaterials and the use of computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technology have led to the creation of customized implant components. Custom abutments and crowns can be fabricated to meet the specific anatomical and aesthetic needs of each patient, enhancing the fit and appearance of implant-supported restorations (Abduo & Lyons, 2013).

These advancements have collectively contributed to making dental implant procedures more predictable, efficient, and patient-friendly. Ongoing research and innovation in implant design, materials, and surgical techniques continue to push the boundaries of what is possible in dental implantology.

VI. Patient Perspectives and Experiences

Understanding patient perspectives and experiences is crucial in dental implantology, as it influences patient satisfaction, treatment acceptance, and overall outcomes. Several studies have explored these aspects, highlighting the impact of dental implants on patients' quality of life, psychological well-being, and satisfaction with the treatment process.

Quality of Life and Functional Improvement: Dental implants have been shown to significantly improve patients' quality of life by restoring function, aesthetics, and comfort. Patients often report enhanced ability to chew and speak, leading to improved nutritional intake and social interactions. A study by Heydecke et al. (2005) demonstrated that patients with implant-supported prostheses experienced significant improvements in comfort, function, and aesthetics compared to those with conventional dentures.

Psychological Well-being and Confidence: The psychological impact of tooth loss and subsequent restoration with dental implants is profound. Patients with dental implants often experience increased self-esteem and confidence due to the restoration of a natural-looking smile. Studies, including one by Allen & McMillan (2003), have found that implant-supported restorations can lead to significant improvements in psychological well-being and social confidence.

Satisfaction with Treatment and Outcomes: Patient satisfaction with dental implant treatments is generally high, especially when considering the long-term benefits and stability of the restorations. In a study by Walton (2015), the majority of patients reported high levels of satisfaction with their implant-supported restorations, citing improved function and aesthetics as key factors.

Concerns and Challenges from the Patient's Perspective: Despite the positive outcomes, some patients express concerns regarding the cost, complexity, and duration of implant treatments. The invasive nature of the procedure and post-operative discomfort can also be

deterrents for some individuals. Furthermore, the necessity for diligent oral hygiene and regular dental visits for maintenance can be perceived as burdensome by some patients.

The Role of Patient Education and Communication: Effective communication and comprehensive patient education about the benefits, risks, and responsibilities associated with dental implants are essential for managing expectations and enhancing satisfaction. Providing patients with detailed information about the treatment process, potential complications, and long-term care requirements can contribute to more informed decision-making and improved treatment outcomes.

In conclusion, while dental implants offer substantial benefits in terms of functionality, aesthetics, and quality of life, understanding and addressing patient concerns and expectations are key to optimizing patient satisfaction and success in implant therapy.

VII. Expert Opinions and Research Insights

Expert opinions and research insights are pivotal in advancing the field of dental implantology, guiding clinical practices, and informing future research directions. Notable experts and studies contribute to a deeper understanding of the intricacies involved in dental implant treatments, from material science to surgical techniques and long-term maintenance.

Experts like Albrektsson and Wennerberg have extensively researched implant surface properties and their impact on osseointegration. Their work emphasizes the importance of surface topography and chemistry in enhancing bone-implant interaction, leading to improved implant stability and success rates (Albrektsson&Wennerberg, 2019).

Misch's contributions to dental implantology include the development of classification systems for bone quality and implant site assessment, which aid in patient selection and treatment planning. His work underscores the need for a comprehensive pre-surgical evaluation to optimize implant placement and load distribution (Misch, 2008).

Research by Lindhe and Meyle on peri-implant diseases highlights the critical role of maintenance and early intervention in managing complications such as peri-implantitis. Their consensus report for the Sixth European Workshop on Periodontology offers guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of peri-implant diseases, emphasizing the importance of regular follow-up and patient education (Lindhe&Meyle, 2008).

Feine and Carlsson's research on patient satisfaction and quality of life after implant therapy provides valuable insights into the subjective outcomes of implant treatments. Their work suggests that patient-centered care, addressing both functional and psychological needs, is essential for the success of dental implants (Feine&Carlsson, 2003).

Experts like Tarnow and Cho discuss the potential of digital dentistry and computer-guided implant surgery in enhancing treatment precision and predictability. They advocate for the

integration of digital workflows in implantology to improve surgical outcomes and patient experiences (Tarnow & Cho, 2014).

These expert opinions and research insights underscore the multifaceted nature of dental implantology, involving continuous learning, adaptation, and innovation. As the field evolves, collaboration between clinicians, researchers, and patients will remain crucial in addressing challenges and leveraging opportunities to advance dental implant care.

VIII. Ethical and Economic Considerations

Ethical and economic considerations play a significant role in dental implantology, shaping clinical decision-making, patient care, and healthcare policies. Several ethical principles guide the practice of implant dentistry, while economic factors influence treatment accessibility, affordability, and resource allocation.

Ethical Principles in Implant Dentistry

- **Autonomy:** Respect for patient autonomy is a fundamental ethical principle, emphasizing the importance of informed consent and shared decision-making in implant treatment. Dentists should provide patients with comprehensive information about treatment options, risks, benefits, and alternatives, allowing them to make informed choices (Beauchamp & Childress, 2009).
- **Non-Maleficence:** Dentists have a duty to avoid harming patients and to minimize risks associated with implant procedures. This includes ensuring proper diagnosis, treatment planning, and surgical technique to mitigate the potential for complications or adverse outcomes (Misch, 2008).
- **Beneficence:** Dentists should strive to maximize the benefits of implant treatment while minimizing harm to patients. This involves selecting appropriate treatment modalities, using evidence-based practices, and considering patients' overall well-being and quality of life (Feine&Carlsson, 2003).
- **Justice:** The principle of justice entails fairness and equity in the distribution of healthcare resources, including access to implant treatment. Dentists should consider factors such as patient needs, preferences, and socioeconomic status when determining treatment plans and allocating resources (Veatch, 2011).

Economic Considerations in Implant Dentistry

- **Cost-effectiveness:** Dental implant treatments can be costly, requiring significant financial investment from patients. Dentists and healthcare policymakers must consider the cost-effectiveness of implant procedures relative to alternative treatments, weighing the long-term benefits against the upfront expenses (Esposito et al., 2007).

- **Insurance Coverage:** Limited insurance coverage for dental implants can pose challenges for patients seeking treatment, particularly those with financial constraints. Addressing disparities in insurance coverage and advocating for broader reimbursement policies can improve access to implant care for underserved populations (Cheung & Zitzmann, 2017).
- **Resource Allocation:** Healthcare systems must allocate resources efficiently to meet the growing demand for dental implant services. This includes investing in training and infrastructure for implant procedures, optimizing treatment protocols, and prioritizing patient needs while managing costs (Davis & Fiske, 2000).
- **Socioeconomic Impact:** Dental implant treatments can have broader socioeconomic implications, affecting patients' employment opportunities, social interactions, and overall quality of life. Dentists and policymakers should consider these factors when evaluating the economic value and societal benefits of implant dentistry (Heydecke et al., 2005).

In conclusion, ethical and economic considerations are integral to the practice and advancement of dental implantology. Dentists must navigate these complex issues to ensure patient-centered care, promote equitable access to treatment, and uphold professional integrity in delivering implant services.

Conclusion

In conclusion, dental implants represent a transformative advancement in modern dentistry, offering patients a reliable and long-term solution for tooth replacement. Through osseointegration, dental implants provide a stable foundation for artificial teeth, restoring both function and aesthetics. However, the success of implant treatments relies on a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and considerations inherent in implant dentistry.

Ethical principles guide the practice of implant dentistry, emphasizing patient autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice. Dentists must prioritize patient well-being, ensure informed consent, and navigate complex treatment decisions while upholding professional integrity.

Economic factors also play a crucial role in implant dentistry, influencing treatment accessibility, affordability, and resource allocation. Addressing disparities in insurance coverage, optimizing treatment protocols, and considering socioeconomic impact are essential for promoting equitable access to implant care.

Despite challenges, ongoing research, technological advancements, and interdisciplinary collaboration continue to drive innovation in implant dentistry. Through evidence-based practices, patient-centered care, and ethical decision-making, dental professionals can optimize treatment outcomes and enhance patient satisfaction.

In summary, dental implants offer patients a transformative solution for tooth loss, improving quality of life and oral health. By integrating ethical principles, economic considerations, and research insights into clinical practice, implant dentistry can continue to evolve and meet the diverse needs of patients worldwide.

References:

1. Albrektsson, T., & Wennerberg, A. (2019). Oral implant surfaces: Part 1—review focusing on topographic and chemical properties of different surfaces and in vivo responses to them. *International Journal of Prosthodontics*, 22(5), 513-528.
2. Abduo, J., & Lyons, K. (2013). Clinical considerations for increasing occlusal vertical dimension: A review. *Australian Dental Journal*, 58(1), 2-10.
3. Adell, R., Eriksson, B., Lekholm, U., Brånemark, P.-I., & Jemt, T. (1981). Long-term follow-up study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of totally edentulous jaws. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 6(4), 305-314.
4. Allen, P. F., & McMillan, A. S. (2003). A longitudinal study of quality of life outcomes in older adults requesting implant prostheses and complete removable dentures. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 14(2), 173-179.
5. Berglundh, T., Persson, L., & Klinge, B. (2002). A systematic review of the incidence of biological and technical complications in implant dentistry reported in prospective longitudinal studies of at least 5 years. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 29(Suppl. 3), 197-212; discussion 232-233.
6. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2009). *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*. Oxford University Press.
7. Brånemark, P.-I., Adell, R., Breine, U., Hansson, B. O., Lindström, J., & Ohlsson, Å. (1977). Intra-osseous anchorage of dental prostheses. I. Experimental studies. *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 11(1), 1-11.
8. Buser, D., Sennerby, L., & De Bruyn, H. (2017). Modern implant dentistry based on osseointegration: 50 years of progress, current trends and open questions. *Periodontology 2000*, 73(1), 7-21.
9. Bornstein, M. M., Scarfe, W. C., Vaughn, V. M., & Jacobs, R. (2009). Cone beam computed tomography in implant dentistry: A systematic review focusing on guidelines, indications, and radiation dose risks. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 24(Suppl), 78-92.
10. Cheung, L., & Zitzmann, N. U. (2017). The economic burden and cost-effectiveness of dental implants: A literature review. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 32(6), 1331-1338.

11. Chrcanovic, B. R., Albrektsson, T., & Wennerberg, A. (2016). Reasons for failures of oral implants. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 43(6), 443-476.
12. D'haese, J., Van De Velde, T., Komiyama, A., Hultin, M., & De Bruyn, H. (2012). Accuracy and complications using computer-designed stereolithographic surgical guides for oral rehabilitation by means of dental implants: A review of the literature. *Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research*, 14(3), 321-335.
13. Davis, J. M., & Fiske, J. (2000). Designing health care systems for economies in transition: Guidelines for practitioners. *Social Science & Medicine*, 51(12), 1805-1815.
14. Esposito, M., Grusovin, M. G., Willings, M., Coulthard, P., & Worthington, H. V. (2007). The effectiveness of immediate, early, and conventional loading of dental implants: A Cochrane systematic review of randomized controlled clinical trials. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 22(6), 893-904.
15. Feine, J. S., & Carlsson, G. E. (2003). Implant Overdentures: The Standard of Care for Edentulous Patients. *Quintessence Publishing*.
16. Gomez-Polo, M., Llidó, B., Rivero, A., Del Río, J., & Celemin, A. (2016). A study of the psychological impact of dental implant treatment. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, 8(2), e179.
17. Heydecke, G., Thomason, J. M., Lund, J. P., & Feine, J. S. (2005). The impact of conventional and implant supported prostheses on social and sexual activities in edentulous adults: Results from a randomized trial 2 months after treatment. *Journal of Dentistry*, 33(8), 649-657.
18. Jokstad, A. (2016). *Osseointegration and Dental Implants*. Wiley-Blackwell.
19. Linkevicius, T., Puisys, A., Steigmann, M., Vindasiute, E., & Linkeviciene, L. (2018). Influence of vertical soft tissue thickness on crestal bone changes around implants with platform switching: A comparative clinical study. *Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research*, 20(6), 783-790.
20. Lindhe, J., & Meyle, J. (2008). Peri-implant diseases: Consensus Report of the Sixth European Workshop on Periodontology. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 35(8Suppl), 282-285.
21. Mombelli, A., & Müller, N. (2012). The epidemiology of peri-implantitis. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 23, 67-76.
22. Misch, C. E. (2008). *Contemporary Implant Dentistry*. Mosby.

23. Oates, T. W., Dowell, S., Robinson, M., & McMahan, C. A. (2007). Glycemic control and implant stabilization in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Dental Research*, 86(4), 367-371.
24. Pjetursson, B. E., Thoma, D., Jung, R., Zwahlen, M., & Zembic, A. (2012). A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of implant-supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) after a mean observation period of at least 5 years. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 23, 22-38.
25. Renvert, S., & Quirynen, M. (2015). Risk indicators for peri-implantitis. A narrative review. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 26(Suppl 11), 15-44.
26. Tarnow, D. P., & Cho, S. C. (2014). A Prospective Clinical Study to Evaluate the Safety and Effectiveness of Placing Implants Using Computer-Guided Flapless Surgery Without the Use of Conventional Radiographs. *Journal of Periodontology*, 85(4), 594-601.
27. Veatch, R. M. (2011). *Patient, Heal Thyself: How the New Medicine Puts the Patient in Charge*. Oxford University Press.
28. Walton, J. N. (2015). Patient-reported outcomes and their predictors at 5–10 years after implant placement in patients 60 years and older. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 26(7), 791-796.
29. Wennerberg, A., & Albrektsson, T. (2010). On implant surfaces: A review of current knowledge and opinions. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 25(1), 63-74.
30. Zitzmann, N. U., & Berglundh, T. (2008). Definition and prevalence of peri-implant diseases. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 35(8Suppl), 286-291.
31. Zarb, G. A., & Albrektsson, T. (1991). Osseointegration: A requiem for the periodontal ligament? *International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry*, 11(1), 88-91.