



THE IMPACT OF TELEMEDICINE ON PREOPERATIVE AND POSTOPERATIVE CARE IN SURGERY

Abdulaziz Nafea Alrashdi, Maged Hamed Al Harbi, Abdulaziz Saleh Saud Sharbah, Ibrahim Khalil Almubarrazi, Mahdi Mojaly Alamri, Abdulaziz Abdullah Alkahtani, Mohammad Abdullah Alghamdi, Meshari Abdullah Saleh Alharbi, Hamoud Ahmad Mousa Abutaweel, Abdulaziz Saleh Saud Sharbah, Ramy Mahmmod Eid, Mohammed Saad Almutairi, Hussam Jamaan Alzahrani

Abstract

Telemedicine refers to a healthcare service in which doctors interact with patients from a distance utilizing telecommunication technology. Telemedicine is utilized for pre- and after surgical assistance, surveillance, and surgical education. The objective of our study was to examine the diverse array of telemedicine tools used in the field of surgical care. A comprehensive search was conducted in MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, and Science Direct databases to identify relevant material published from the beginning of these databases. No limitations were placed on the language of the articles. The search phrases used were: mobile phones, telemedicine, internet access, video, online, teleconferencing, distant advice, surgery, preoperative, perioperative, postoperative, and surgical operations. Included studies focused on the use of telemedicine during the pre-, peri-, or post-surgery stages, and included a comparison between standard surgical care and surgical telemedicine. Patients have reported several advantages of adopting telemedicine, including the ability to prevent needless visits to hospitals, saving time, and lowering the number of lost working days. Telemedicine in surgical treatment offers advantages to both patients and professionals.

Keywords: Telemedicine, surgical process, satisfaction, monitoring

1. Introduction

Telemedicine refers to a healthcare service that has been in use since the 1970s. It allows doctors to contact with patients remotely utilizing telecommunication technology (1, 2). The origins of this advancing technology may be traced back to the early 20th century, when Willem Einthoven, a Dutch biologist, created the first electrocardiograph in his laboratory in Leiden. Einthoven captured the electrical heart signals of patients at a hospital located 1½ kilometers distant by using a string galvanometer and telephone cables. According to the Institute of Medicine (IOM), telemedicine is the use of electronic information and communication technology to provide and facilitate healthcare services when there is a physical distance between the participants (3).



All the articles published by Chelonian Conservation and Biology are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) Based on a work at <https://www.acgpublishing.com/>

Telemedicine technologies have been used in surgical care to provide pre/postoperative surgical consultations and monitoring, as well as surgical teleconferencing and cross-border education (4-8). In 1998, Robie et al. discovered that telemedicine yielded precise diagnoses for newborn surgical consultations (9). Bullard et al. found that neurosurgeons were able to make patient decisions based on mobile-phone pictures of CT scans. This decreased the requirement to transfer patients from referring institutions by 30-50% (10). A separate research discovered that using telemedicine for postoperative follow-up after cleft lip/cleft palate surgery resulted in significant reductions in travel time and distance. Additionally, it enabled access to specialized services within a wider range than what would typically be feasible for an in-person clinical consultation (11). In addition, Urquhart et al. found that telemedicine was a secure and efficient method for doing normal postoperative follow-up following parathyroidectomy (12).

While several studies have explored the use of certain technologies in telemedicine or the utilization of telemedicine in certain surgical subspecialties, none have comprehensively analyzed the use of telemedicine in surgical care from a wide-ranging standpoint. The objective of this systematic review is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the use of telemedicine in the field of surgical care.

2. Medical result

The study conducted by Lee et al. found that telemedicine technology achieved a 100% agreement with on-site preoperative diagnostic findings (15). Hands, et al. used telemedicine to send patients to the vascular surgery clinic and discovered that the implementation of alternate treatment strategies had positive effects on both consultants and patients (16). Demartines et al. examined the precision of assessing the anatomy and function of organs prior to surgical procedures involving the digestive or endocrine systems (14). Three studies have shown that telemedicine-based preoperative diagnosis is as accurate to treatments conducted in traditional clinics (9, 17, 19). Wallace et al. used telemedicine to enable several surgical teams to assess patients' injuries prior to their admission to the operating room. The investigations revealed that the use of technology resulted in a decrease in unnecessary transfers to the neurosurgery department and a reduction in surgical complications (18, 20). Postuma and Loewen discovered that patients were able to use videoconferencing via their own desktop computers at home for cosmetic surgery consultations, hence eliminating the need for them to go to the hospital (21).

Four studies used either pictures or teleconferencing to evaluate postoperative wounds. The researchers discovered that using a mobile-phone-assisted system enhanced the process of postoperative follow-up and reduced the need for patients to physically visit ambulatory care facilities for regular wound assessments (25, 27, 28, 33, 36, 37). Another kind of intervention was the use of telemedicine for regular follow-up. Four studies used teleconferencing to examine typical postoperative treatment and observed that the technology facilitated robust connection between patients and healthcare providers (23, 31, 34, 35).

Two studies reported the use of videoconferencing using a mobile phone to remotely monitor patients using an electronic blood pressure monitoring device or to monitor surgical drains. The studies concluded that this approach was very advantageous for both the patients and the healthcare providers involved (26, 30). A research detailed the use of telemedicine to do follow-up assessments on newborns, specifically to monitor their sleep environment, measure readings on medical equipment, and promptly identify signs of infection (24). McGillicuddy et al. concluded that the use of smart phones resulted in enhanced long-term graft results and improved treatment of comorbidities as compared to routine surgical care. The research revealed a positive correlation between telemedicine and improved medication adherence, reduced systolic blood pressure, and expedited medication modification (32).

3. Patient satisfaction and cost savings

In a research conducted by Ellison et al., patients who had undergone urological operations were examined. The primary focus of the study was to assess patient satisfaction with the 'tele-visit' in comparison to standard treatment. The tele-visit arm received positive feedback from almost 95% of patients, who believed that this kind of treatment should be adopted as the future standard for patient care (29). Telemedicine satisfaction was noted in nine further trials (12, 17, 24, 25, 27, 29, 32-34). Telemedicine studies consistently indicated high levels of satisfaction, with ratings ranging from 4.5 to 5 out of 5. Two studies that discussed patient satisfaction made mention of cost savings (12, 19). The majority of patients' feedback focused on the importance of minimizing needless visits to hospitals, optimizing time management, and minimizing the number of lost workdays (24, 25).

4. Discussion

Telemedicine technology is increasingly becoming a vital instrument in the provision of healthcare (2, 3, 38-43). This systematic study specifically examined the use of telemedicine in the context of surgical treatment. We included research that compared in-person consultations with telemedicine in order to derive practical recommendations about the use of this technology. Our analysis determined that telemedicine is a valuable technique for surgical treatment, as shown by all the papers included in our evaluation. Most research focused on the use of telemedicine for patient and healthcare provider interactions, with just one study examining the comparison of telemedicine usage across two institutions.

The research included in the study showed that telemedicine was advantageous in preoperative assessment and diagnosis, post-surgery evaluation, and follow-up visits. Five studies have determined that videoconferencing is both practical and helpful for postoperative assessment and follow-up. Digital pictures were appropriate for evaluating surgical wounds. Martínez-Ramos et al. found that telemedicine facilitated the evaluation of local issues by doctors, hence preventing needless hospital trips (25). Two studies (9, 16) found that telemedicine with internet-enabled PCs was advantageous for preoperative diagnosis. Regarding

postoperative care, the use of videoconferencing and digital cameras facilitated a precise diagnosis and treatment plan.

A common constraint in the use of telemedicine technologies has been identified. The widespread use of this technology is now hindered in many hospitals due to many factors. Initially, the tangible financial benefits of telemedicine for the healthcare system are still not substantial (36). Out of the 24 research examined, one study revealed that the expense of acquiring software and digital cameras for connecting desktop computers and broadcasting live video during newborn surgical consultations ranged from 5000 to 15,000 U.S. dollars (9). Wallace et al. found no empirical support for cost reductions associated with telemedicine (20). In addition, healthcare personnel must get education and training on the use of telemedicine in order to properly implement it.

Our research demonstrates that telemedicine offers greater benefits compared to conventional surgical treatment. Thus, we recommend that healthcare providers use telemedicine technology in hospitals to assist healthcare practitioners in delivering more streamlined treatment. For more study, we suggest carrying out a randomized controlled trial or group trial since they provide more substantial evidence compared to observational studies. The majority of the papers included in our review examined both ancient and contemporary technologies. However, it is important to do more research on the future generation of technologies that will influence the practice of surgical care.

5. Summary

The findings of our research indicate that the use of telemedicine in surgical treatment might provide advantages for both patients and healthcare professionals. Telemedicine enhances patient accessibility to the healthcare system and offers substantial time efficiency for both patients and healthcare practitioners. Furthermore, telemedicine may significantly enhance patient care by facilitating the cooperation of surgical teams situated in disparate locations. It is crucial to acknowledge that more efforts are required to surmount the obstacles to the use of telemedicine technology in surgical treatment.

References

1. Dasgupta P, Challacombe B. Robotics in urology. *BJU International*. 2004;93(3):247–248.
2. Challacombe B, Dasgupta P. Telemedicine - the future of surgery. *The Journal of Surgery*. 2003;1(1):15–17.
3. Field MJ. National Academies Press; 1996. Telemedicine: A guide to assessing telecommunications for health care.
4. Aziz SR, Ziccardi VB. Telemedicine using smartphones for oral and maxillofacial surgery consultation, communication, and treatment planning. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2009;67(11):2505–2509.

5. Gosman AA, Fischer CA, Agha Z, Sigler A, Chao JJ, Dobke MK. Telemedicine and surgical education across borders: a case report. *Journal of surgical education*. 2009;66(2):102–105.
6. Numanoglu A. Using telemedicine to teach paediatric surgery in resource - limited countries. *Pediatric surgery international*. 2017;33(4):471–474.
7. Latifi R, Mora F, Bekteshi F, Rivera R. Preoperative telemedicine evaluation of surgical mission patients: should we use it routinely. *Bull Am Coll Surg*. 2014;99(1):17–23.
8. Stypulkowski K, Uppaluri S, Waisbren S. Telemedicine for Postoperative Visits at the Minneapolis VA Medical Center. *Minnesota medicine*. 2015
9. Robie DK, Naulty CM, Parry RL, Motta C, Darling B, Micheals M, et al. Early experience using telemedicine for neonatal surgical consultations. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 1998;33(7):1172–1177.
10. Bullard TB, Rosenberg MS, Ladde J, Razack N, Villalobos HJ, Papa L. Digital images taken with a mobile phone can assist in the triage of neurosurgical patients to a level 1 trauma centre. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare*. 2013;19(2):80–83.
11. Costa MA, Yao CA, Gillenwater TJ, Taghva GH, Abrishami S, Green TA, et al. Telemedicine in cleft care: reliability and predictability in regional and international practice settings. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*. 2015;26(4):1116–1120.
12. Urquhart AC, Antoniotti NM, Berg RL. Telemedicine - An efficient and cost-effective approach in parathyroid surgery. *The Laryngoscope*. 2011;121(7):1422–1425.
13. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2009;151(4):264–269.
14. Demartines N, Otto U, Mutter D, Labler L, von Weymarn A, Vix M, et al. An evaluation of telemedicine in surgery: telediagnosis compared with direct diagnosis. *Archives of Surgery*. 2000;135(7):849–853.
15. Lee S, Broderick TJ, Haynes J, Bagwell C, Doarn CR, Merrell RC. The role of low-bandwidth telemedicine in surgical prescreening. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 2003;38(9):1281–1283.
16. Hands L, Jones R, Clarke M, Mahaffey W, Bangs I. The use of telemedicine in the management of vascular surgical referrals. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2004;10(1,suppl):38–40.
17. Sudan R, Salter M, Lynch T, Jacobs DO. Bariatric surgery using a network and teleconferencing to serve remote patients in the Veterans Administration Health Care System: feasibility and results. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2011;202(1):71–76.
18. Scerri G, Vassallo D. Initial plastic surgery experience with the first telemedicine links for the British Forces. *British Journal of Plastic Surgery*. 1999;52(4):294–298.
19. Wood EW, Strauss RA, Janus C, Carrico CK. Telemedicine consultations in oral and maxillofacial surgery: A follow-up study. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2016;74(2):262–268.

20. Wallace D, Jones S, Milroy C, Pickford M. Telemedicine for acute plastic surgical trauma and burns. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery*. 2008;61(1):31–36.
21. Postuma R, Loewen L. Telepediatric surgery: capturing clinical outcomes. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2005;40(5):813–818.
22. Schlachta CM, Kent SA, Lefebvre KL, McCune ML, Jayaraman S. A model for longitudinal mentoring and telementoring of laparoscopic colon surgery. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2009;23(7):1634–1638.
23. Bednarski BK, Slack RS, Katz M, You YN, Papadopolous J, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, et al. Assessment of Ileostomy Output Using Telemedicine: A Feasibility Trial. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2018;61(1):77–83.
24. Willard A, Brown E, Masten M, Brant M, Pouppirt N, Moran K, et al. Complex Surgical Infants Benefit from Postdischarge Telemedicine Visits. *Advances in Neonatal Care*. 2018;18(1):22–30.
25. Martínez-Ramos C, Cerdán MT, López RS. Mobile phone-based telemedicine system for the home follow-up of patients undergoing ambulatory surgery. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2009;15(6):531–537.
26. Robaldo A, Rousas N, Pane B, Spinella G, Palombo D. Telemedicine in vascular surgery: clinical experience in a single centre. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2010;16(7):374–377.
27. Wirthlin DJ, Buradagunta S, Edwards RA, Brewster DC, Cambria RP, Gertler JP, et al. Telemedicine in vascular surgery: feasibility of digital imaging for remote management of wounds. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 1998;27(6):1089–1100.
28. Segura-Sampedro JJ, Rivero-Belenchón I, Pino-Díaz V, Sánchez MCR, Pareja-Ciuró F, Padillo-Ruiz J, et al. Feasibility and safety of surgical wound remote follow-up by smart phone in appendectomy: A pilot study. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*. 2017;21:58–62.
29. Ellison LM, Pinto PA, Kim F, Ong AM, Patriciu A, Stoianovici D, et al. Telerounding and patient satisfaction after surgery. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2004;199(4):523–530
30. Rao R, Shukla BM, Saint-Cyr M, Rao M, Teotia SS. Take two and text me in the morning: optimizing clinical time with a short messaging system. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2012;130(1):44–49.
31. Canon S, Shera A, Patel A, Zamilpa I, Paddack J, Fisher PL, et al. A pilot study of telemedicine for post-operative urological care in children. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare*. 2014;20(8):427–430.
32. McGillicuddy JW, Gregoski MJ, Weiland AK, Rock RA, Brunner-Jackson BM, Patel SK, et al. Mobile health medication adherence and blood pressure control in renal transplant recipients: a proof-of-concept randomized controlled trial. *JMIR research protocols*. 2013;2(2)

33. Sharareh B, Schwarzkopf R. Effectiveness of telemedical applications in postoperative follow-up after total joint arthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(5):918–22. e1.
34. Viers BR, Lightner DJ, Rivera ME, Tollefson MK, Boorjian SA, Karnes RJ, et al. Efficiency, satisfaction, and costs for remote video visits following radical prostatectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *European urology*. 2015;68(4):729–735.
35. Sathiyakumar V, Apfeld JC, Obremsky WT, Thakore RV, Sethi MK. Prospective randomized controlled trial using telemedicine for follow-ups in an orthopedic trauma population: a pilot study. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma*. 2015;29(3):e139–e45
36. Mandzuka M, Begic E, Boskovic D, Begic Z, Masic I. Mobile Clinical Decision Support System for Acid-base Balance Diagnosis and Treatment Recommendation. *Acta Inform Med*. 2017 Jun;25(2):121–125. doi: 10.5455/aim.2017.25.121-125.
37. Masic I, Begic E. Mobile Clinical Decision Support Systems in our Hands - Great Potential but also a Concern. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2016;226:63–66.
38. Mašić I, Hadžiahmetović Z, Toromanović S, Kudumović M. Telemedicina, virtuelna realnost, teleoperacije - hirurški aspekti. *Med Arh*. 2003;57(3, supl. 1):48–49.
39. Omerkić E, Mašić I, Hadžiahmetović Z. Telemedicina u urgentnoj medicini u BiH. *Acta Inform Med*. 2003;11(1-2):31–34.
40. Hadžiahmetović Z, Aginčić A, Mašić I. Telematika u urgentnoj medicini i hirurgiji. *Med Arh*. 2003;57(3, supl. 1):49.
41. Mašić I, Bilalović N, Karčić S, Hadžiahmetović Z. Korijeni telemedicine u Bosni i Hercegovini u postdejtonskom periodu. *Mater Sociomed*. 2002;14(3-4):107–110.
42. Masic I, Bilalovic N, Karcic S, Kudumovic M, Pasic E. Telemedicine and Telematics in B&H in the war and after Post war Times. *European Journal of Medical Research*. 2002;7(supl.1):47.
43. Whitten PS, Mair FS, Haycox A, May CR, Williams TL, Hellmich S. Systematic review of cost effectiveness studies of telemedicine interventions. *BMJ*. 2002;324(7351):1434–1437.