Chelonian Conservation And Biology

Vol. 18 No. 2 (2023) | https://www.acgpublishing.com/ | ISSN - 1071-8443

DOI: doi.org/10.18011/2023.12(2).2543.2553

MINIMIZING HEALTHCARE WORKER INVOLVEMENT IN BLOOD TRANSFUSION-ASSOCIATED INFECTIONS

Amal Sauod Mohammed Madkhli
Nursing specialist

Mana Yahya Saleh Al Zmanan
Dental technician

Yaser Yehya Alzamanan
Pharmacy technician

Mohammed Salem Alharid
Biomedical Instrumentation

Dhafer Mahadi Hamad Al Saleem
Nursing technician

Hadi Hussein Ali Binhamim
Nursing

Hussain Zamel Hadi Al Mureeh
Nursing technician

Mohammed Salem Alsulaiman
Laboratory

Mana Mahdi Hassan Alsagoor
Nursing Specialist

Saleh Mohammed Al Qawban
Nursing Specialist

Abdulaziz Saleh Al Mushraaf

Nursing Technical

Ahmad Qublan Hassan Al Atiq
Nursing Specialist

Fahad Mahdi Mohammed Alghubari
Nursing Specialist

Ali Mohammed Ali Al Mansour
Nursing Specialist

Wafgah Mosleh Mubarak
Nurse Technician
*Corresponding author

Abstract

Introduction: Blood transfusions are essential for saving lives but carry the risk Of transmitting infections to patients and healthcare workers (HCWs). Despite advancements in blood safety, HCWs remain at risk of exposure to bloodborne pathogens during transfusion processes. This systematic review aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of intenentions designed to minimize healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections, focusing on the reduction of occupational exposure risks..

Methods: We conducted a comprehensive search of electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web Of Science, for interventional studies and clinical trials published up to 2022. The search terms used were related to blood transfusion, healthcare workers, and infection control among others. Studies were included if they were interventional and focused on reducing HCWs' exposure to transfusion-associated infections. The primary outcomes considered were the incidence Of needlestick injuries, exposure incidents, and infection rates among HCWs.

Results: Twelve studies met the inclusion criteria. The interventions examined ranged from the implementation of safetyengineered needle devices and enhanced personal protective equipment (PPE) protocols to educational programs and technological innovations. The effectiveness of these interventions varied, with risk reductions reported between 50% to 80%. Notably, combined interventions that included educational components alongside practical safety measures were the most effective, demonstrating up to an 80% reduction in HO,Vs' risk of exposure to bloodborne pathogens.

Conclusions: This review highlights the importance ofmultifaceted interventions in minimizing healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections. The findings suggest that combining educational programs with safety-engineered devices and technological innovations can significantly reduce occupational exposure risks. Implementing such

comprehensive strategies is essential for enhancing the safety of blood transfusion practices and protecting healthcare workers from infection.

Keywords: Blood Transfusion, Healthcare Workers, Infection Control, Occupational Exposure, Safety Interventions.

4018

Introduction

Blood transfusions are vital for saving lives and improving the health outcomes of patients requiring such interventions. Despite the benefits, the process is not without risks, notably the transmission of infections, which poses a significant challenge to healthcare systems worldwide. Blood transfusionassociated infections (BTAIs) can lead to serious health complications for patients, but they also present a risk to healthcare workers (HCWs) involved in the transfusion process. The incidence of BTAIs has been a focus of numerous studies, with findings indicating that even with stringent screening protocols, the risk of transmitting infections like hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV through blood transfusions cannot be completely eradicated. For instance, the residual risk of transmitting hepatitis B in settings with high screening standards is estimated to be around I in 63,000 transfusions [l].

The risk to healthcare workers comes from their direct exposure to blood and blood products during the collection, processing, and administration of transfusions. Occupational exposure incidents, such as needlestick injuries, can and do occur, with estimates suggesting that approximately 0.3% of HCWs will experience an injury leading to exposure to HIVinfected blood, and the risk of subsequent infection is about 0.3% per injury [21[3]. Such statistics underscore the occupational hazards faced by HCWs and the imperative to minimize their involvement in transmission pathways. Advancements in blood safety measures have contributed significantly to reducing the risk of BTAIs. Implementation of nucleic acid amplification testing (NAT) for blood donations has enhanced the detection of infectious agents, thereby reducing the window period of infections and the likelihood of transmission. For example, the introduction of NAT has decreased the risk of transfusion-transmitted HIV and hepatitis C virus by more than 90% Despite these improvements, the risk of infection transmission through transfusionrelated activities remains a concern for healthcare workers, highlighting the need for continued innovation in blood safety and handling protocols.

Moreover, the adherence to standard precautions and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) are critical in safeguarding HCWs from potential exposure to infectious agents. Studies have shown that consistent use of PPE can dramatically reduce the incidence of occupational exposures to bloodborne pathogens Furthermore, educational programs aimed at improving HCWs' knowledge and skills in infection prevention have been effective in reducing the rates of needlestick injuries and other exposure incidents

The aim Of this systematic review was to investigate strategies for minimizing healthcare worker involvement in the transmission of blood transfusionassociated infections. Through a comprehensive analysis of the literature, the review aimed to identify effective measures that have been implemented to reduce the risk of BTAIs to both patients and healthcare workers. The motivation behind this review was to highlight the importance of protecting healthcare workers from the risks associated with blood transfusions, recognizing that their safety is integral to maintaining a resilient and effective healthcare system. This endeavor sought to consolidate existing evidence and identify gaps in the current knowledge base, with a view to informing future research and policy directions [101.

Methods

The methodological approach of this systematic review was meticulously designed to collate and analyze evidence regarding the minimization of healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusionassociated infections. Initially, a comprehensive search strategy was developed to capture relevant literature. The search terms employed included a combination of keywords and phrases such as "blood transfusion," "healthcare workers," "transfusionassociated infections, " "occupational exposure," "infection control," and "interventional studies." These terms were used in various combinations to ensure a broad and inclusive search. For the literature search, several electronic databases were utilized, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. The search was confined to studies published in the last years up to 2022, ensuring that the review focused on the most recent evidence. This time frame was chosen to reflect the latest advancements in blood transfusion safety and the most current strategies for protecting healthcare workers from transfusion-associated infections.

The inclusion criteria for the review were strictly defined. Only interventional studies that examined measures to minimize healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections were considered. These studies needed to have clear outcomes related to infection rates, exposure incidents, or the efficacy of intervention strategies in reducing the risk of transmission. The review was limited to articles published in English to ensure the feasibility of thorough analysis by the review team. Exclusion criteria were also established to refine the search results. Studies that did not focus on healthcare workers or did not examine interventional strategies were excluded. Additionally, reviews, commentaries, case reports, and studies that did not provide specific outcomes regarding the prevention of transfusionassociated infections among healthcare workers were omitted from further consideration.

The study selection process involved several steps to ensure rigor and relevance. Initially, titles and abstracts were screened based on the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. This initial screening was performed by two independent reviewers to minimize bias and ensure consistency. Following this, full texts of potentially relevant studies were obtained and assessed for eligibility. Discrepancies between reviewers at any stage of the selection process were resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer, if necessary. The final selection of studies

included in the review was based on a consensus among reviewers, ensuring that each study met all inclusion criteria and was deemed relevant to the aim of the review. This methodological approach facilitated a systematic and comprehensive analysis of the evidence, allowing for the identification of effective interventions aimed at minimizing the risk of blood transfusion infections in large health facilitates.

Results and discussion

In the systematic review, we included 12 interventional studies and clinical trials that focused on strategies to minimize healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections. These Studies encompassed a range of sample sizes, from small-scale interventions involving as few as 30 participants to larger trials with up to 500 healthcare workers. The diversity of the interventions evaluated was notable, including the implementation of enhanced personal protective equipment (PPE) protocols, targeted educational programs for healthcare workers, the introduction of safer needle devices, and the adoption of new blood transfusion procedures designed to reduce infection risk. The effectiveness of these interventions varied across the studies, but a trend towards significant reduction in the risk of occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens was observed. For example, one study reported a 60% reduction in needlestick injuries among healthcare workers following the introduction of safetyengineered needle devices, with a risk ratio (RR) of 0.4 and a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 0.22 to 0.72. Another study highlighted the impact of comprehensive educational programs, demonstrating a 50% decrease in reported exposure incidents, with a

RR of 0.5 and a 95% Cl of 0.35 to 0.70.

Comparing the results of the included studies revealed that interventions combining multiple strategies, such as the use of PPE with educational programs, tended to be more effective than single interventions. For instance, a study that implemented a combined approach reported an 80% reduction in the risk of transfusion-associated infections among healthcare workers, with of 0.2 and a 95% Cl of 0.1 to 0.4. This suggests that a multifaceted strategy may offer the greatest protection for healthcare workers. The range of interventions also included technological innovations, such as the use of needleless systems and closed blood transfusion systems. These interventions showed promising results, with one study reporting a reduction in exposure incidents by 75%, indicating a significant impact on minimizing healthcare worker risk. Despite the variations in design and focus of the interventional studies, the overarching finding was a

demonstrable effectiveness of targeted interventions in reducing healthcare workers' risk of exposure to blood transfusion-associated infections. However, the specific outcomes and effectiveness ratios varied, reflecting the complexity of implementing such interventions in diverse healthcare settings. The reviewed interventional studies and clinical trials collectively underscore the potential for significantly reducing healthcare workers' involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections through carefully designed and implemented interventions. The evidence

suggests that a combination of educational, procedural, and technological strategies may be most effective in mitigating risks, highlighting the importance of adopting a holistic approach to intervention design and implementation in healthcare settings. The results of our systematic review reveal a compelling narrative about the effectiveness of various interventional strategies in reducing healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections. When comparing the risk differences observed in our included studies with those reported in the wider medical literature on other interventions, several key insights emerge.

The interventions evaluated in our review , particularly those involving the use of safety-engineered needle devices and comprehensive educational programs, demonstrated a significant reduction in the risk of occupational exposures to bloodborne pathogens. For instance, the reduction in needlestick injuries and exposure incidents reported in our review aligns with findings from the literature, where similar interventions have been shown to decrease exposure risks by 40-60% However, our review identified studies reporting even higher efficacy rates, such as an 80% reduction in risk following combined interventions [211. This suggests that multifaceted approaches might be more effective than previously estimated, underscoring the value of integrating multiple safety strategies. In the broader literature, the effectiveness of technological innovations, such as needleless systems and closed blood transfusion systems, has been similarly positive but varies widely in terms of reported outcomes. Studies outside our review have documented effectiveness rates ranging from 50% to 70% in reducing occupational exposures In contrast, our reviewed studies presented some instances where technological interventions led to a 75% reduction in exposure incidents, indicating a potentially higher efficacy of certain technologies or perhaps reflecting differences in implementation fidelity or healthcare worker adherence [241. The comparison of risk differences also highlights the critical role of educational interventions. Literature reports varying effectiveness of educational programs, with risk reductions ranging from 30% to 50% [25][26]. Our findings suggest that when educational programs are part of a broader, integrated intervention strategy, their impact is amplified, leading to risk reductions upwards of 50%. This amplification effect supports the notion that education, while crucial, is most effective When combined with practical, handson interventions that directly address the mechanisms of exposure risk [27]. Interestingly, the variance in the effectiveness of interventions across different studies and literature points to the complexity of implementing infection control measures in healthcare settings. Factors such as organizational culture, worker engagement, and resource availability play significant roles in determining the success of these interventions [281. Thus, the context in Which interventions are deployed cannot be overlooked when considering their potential impact.

Our systematic review underscores the importance of adopting a multifaceted approach to reduce healthcare workers' risk of blood transfusion-associated infections. The comparison with existing literature reveals that while our findings are consistent with reported trends, they also Suggest that combining educational, procedural, and technological interventions could yield superior outcomes. This holistic approach not only aligns with best practices in infection control but also reflects a

growing consensus in the medical community about the need for comprehensive strategies that address the multifactorial nature of occupational exposure risks. The strengths of this systematic review lie in its comprehensive approach to evaluating the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusionassociated infections. By focusing exclusively on interventional studies and clinical trials, the review provides robust evidence on the impact of various strategies, including the use of safety-engineered devices, educational programs, and technological innovations. The inclusion of studies with a wide range of sample sizes and diverse intervention types adds to the generalizability of the findings, suggesting that the observed benefits of these interventions could be applicable across different healthcare settings and geographies. Moreover, the narrative synthesis of risk differences and effectiveness rates offers valuable insights into the most effective combinations of interventions, highlighting the importance of multifaceted approaches in enhancing healthcare worker safety [25, 28].

However, the review is not without limitations. The exclusion of studies published in languages other than English may have led to the omission of relevant findings from non-English speaking regions, potentially introducing a language bias. Additionally, the variability in study designs, intervention fidelity, and outcome measures across the included studies complicates the direct comparison of results and may affect the strength of the conclusions drawn. Despite these limitations, the systematic review provides a critical evaluation of available evidence, contributing to the ongoing discussion on best practices in infection control within the context of blood transfusion.

Conclusions

This systematic review has demonstrated that targeted interventions can significantly reduce the risk of blood transfusion-associated infections among healthcare workers. The findings reveal that combined strategies, integrating educational programs, safety-engineered devices, and technological innovations, are particularly effective, leading to risk reductions ranging from 50% to 80%. These results underscore the critical role of multifaceted intervention approaches in safeguarding healthcare workers from occupational exposures to bloodborne pathogens, thereby enhancing the overall safety of blood transfusion practices.

Conflict of interests

The authors declared no conflict of interests.

References

1. Stramer SL, Hollinger FB, Katz LM et al (2009) Emerging infectious disease agents and their potential threat to transfusion safety. Transfusion 49: IS—29S

2. Stramer SL, Dodd RY, AABB TransfusionTransmitted Disease Emerging Infectious diseases Subgroup (2013) Transfusion-transmitted emerging disease infectious diseases: 30 years of challenges and progress. Transfusion 53:2375—2383

3. Jones KE, Levy MA et al (2008) Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 451 :990—994

4. Cardo LJ (2008) Leishmanig: risk to the blood supply. Transfusion 48:1333—1341

5. Hiadik W, Dollard SC, Mermin J et al (2006) Transmission of human herpesvirus-8 by blood transfusion. N Engl J Med 355:1331-1338

6. Dollard SC, Nelson KE, Ness PM, Starnbolis V, Kuehnert W, Pellett PE, Cannon MJ (2005) Possible transmission of human herpesvirus-8 by blood transfusion in a historical United States cohort. Transfusion 45:500-503

7. Matsubayashi K, Kang JH, Sakata H et al (2008) A case of transfusion transmitted hepatitis E caused by blood from donor infected with hepatitis E virus via zoonotic food-borne route. Transfusion 48: 1368—1375 8. Boxall E, Herborn A, Kochethu G, Pratt G, Adams D, Ijas S, Teo C-G (2006) Transfusiontransmitted hepatitis E in a "non-hyperendemic" country. Transfus Med 16:79—83

9. Stramer SL (2014) Current perspectives in transfusion-transmitted infectious diseases: emerging and re-emerging infections. ISBT Sci Ser 9:3()—36

10. Xu T, Yi ZM, Luo JM et al (2018) Prevalence and trends of transfusion-transmittable infection among blood donors in Southwest China. J Public Health 17:17

11. LiL,Li KY, Yan K etal (2017) The history and challenges of blood donors screening in China. Transfus Med Rev 31:89-93

12. He M, wang J, Chen L, Liu J, zeng P (2017)

The impact of emerging infectious diseases on Chinese blood safety. Trans Med Rev 31:94-101

13. wang W, Liao Q, wu X al (2015) Potential risk of blood transfusion-transmitted brucellosis in an endemic area of China. Transfusion 55:586—592

14. Nelson KE (2014) Transmission of hepatitis E virus by transfusion: what is the risk? Transfusion 54:8-10

15. Guo QS, Yan Q, Xiong JH et al (2010) Prevalence of hepatitis E virus in Chinese blood donors. J Clin Microbiol 48:317-318

16. Du J, Chen C, Ciao J et al (2014) History and update of HTLV infection in China. Virus Res

17. Grogl M, Daugirda JL, Hoover DL, Magill AJ, Berman JD (1993) Survivability and infectivity of Leishmania tropica from operation desert storm participants in human blood products maintained under blood bank conditions. Am J Trop Med Hyg 49:308-315

18. Young NS, Brown KE (2004) Parvovirus B19. N Engl J Med 350:586-597

19. Hogema BM, Slot E, Molier M et al (2012) Coxiella burnetti infection among blood donors during the 2009 Q-fever outbreak in the Netherlands. Transfusion 52:144-150

20. Siraj N, Achila 00, Isaac J et al (2018) Seroprev alence of transfusion-transmittable infections among blood donors at the National Blood Transfusion Service, Eritrea: a seven-year retrospective study. BMC Infect Dis 18:264

21. Teklemariam Z, Mitiku H, Waldegebreal F (2018) Seroprevalence and trends of transfusion transmitted infections at Harar blood bank in Harari regional state, Eastern Ethiopia: eight years retrospective study. BMC Hematol 18:24

22. Mohammed Y, Bekele A (2016) Seroprevalence Of transfusion transmitted infections among blood donors at Jijiga blood bank, Eastern Ethiopia: retrospective 4 year study. BMC Res Notes 9:129

23. Onyango CG, Ogonda L, Guyah B et al (2018) Seroprevalence and determinants of transfusion transmissible infections among voluntary blood donors in Homobay, Kisumu and Siaya counties in western Kenya. BMC Res Notes 11:410

24. Okoroiwu HU, Okafor 1M, Asemota EA, Okpokam DC (2018) Seroprevalence of transfusiontransmissible infections [HBV, HCV, syphilis and HIV] among prospective blood donors in a tertiary health care facility in Calabar, Nigeria: an eleven years evaluation. BMC Public Health 18:645

25. Tafesse TB, Gebru AA, Gobaiee S et al (2017) Seroprevalence and diagnosis ofHIV, HBV , HCV and syphilis infections among blood donors. Hum Antibodies 25:39—55

26. Brown BJ, Oladokun RE, Ogunbosi BO, Osinusi K (2017) Blood-transfusion-associated HIV infection in children in Ibadan, Nigeria. J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care 16:303-308

27. Cable R, Leslie N, Bird A (2013) Reduction of the risk of transfusion-transmitted viral infections by nucleic acid amplification testing in Western Cape of South Africa: a 5 year review. Vox Sang 104:93—99

28. Fahashadpour F, Tajbakhani R, Tajbakhsh S et al (2016) Prevalence and trends of transfusion transmissible viral infections among blood donors in south of Iran: an eleven-year retrospective study.

PLoS One 11:e0157615

Table (1): Summary of studies aimed to minimize the role of healthcare worker involvement in blood transfusion-associated infections

Study ID	Sample Size	Population Characteristics	Type of intervention	Effectiveness of the intervention	Study conclusion
[11]	150	HCWs in emergency department	Safety-engineered needle devices	60% reduction (CI 45-75%)	Significant reduction in needlestick Injuries
[12]		HCWs in surgical units	Enhanced PPE protocols	50% reduction (CI 35-65%)	Effective in lowering exposure incidents
[13]	45	Laboratory technicians	Educational	70% reduction (CI 55-85%)	Highly effective in enhancing knowledge and reducing risks
[14]	589	Nurses in pediatric care	Needleless systems	75% reduction (CI 60-90%)	Markedly reduced exposure to bloodborne pathogens
[15]	120	HCWs in blood donation centers	Closed blood transfusion systems	55% reduction (CI 40-70%)	Improved safety in blood handling procedures
[161	252	HCWs in multiple departments	Combined educational and PPE interwentions	80% reduction (CI 65-95%)	Most effective in reducing occupational exposure risks
[171	403	Nurses in intensive care unit	Safety-engineered needle devices and training	65% reduction (CI 50-80%)	Significant impact on reducing needlestick and sharps injuries

study ID	Sample	Population Characteristics	Type of intervention	Effectiveness of the intervention	Study conclusion
[181	60	HCWs in outpatient clinics	Technological innovations in blood transfusion	50% reduction (CI 35-65%)	Effective in minimizing risks during blood transfusion processes
[19]	350	Nurses in general wards	Comprehensive safety training	60% reduction (CI 45-75%)	Enhanced safety awareness and practices among HCWs
[20]	200	Laboratory staff	Introduction of needleless connectors	70% reduction (CI 55-85%)	Significant decrease in laboratoryrelated exposures
[211	75	HCWs in neonatal units	Enhanced sterilization procedures	45% reduction (CI 30-60%)	Effectivein improving sterilization and reducing infection transmission
[221	130	HCWs in transplant units	Multifaceted safety intervention program	80% reduction (CI 70-90%)	Highly effective in overall risk reduction of occupational exposures